

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,237	09/20/2001	Lyle Joseph Chamberlain	TUC920010065US1 502.64US0	4066
7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER	
David W. Lynch			DESIRE, GREGORY M	
Crawford Maun				
1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mendota Heights, MN 55120			2625	- -
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/27/2006	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/961,237	CHÂMBERLAIN,	CHAMBERLAIN, LYLE JOSEPH		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gregory M. Desire	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notatute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 February 200 <u>5</u> .				
,	•	This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,11-13,17-19,23 and 24</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>2-4,8-10,14-16 and 20-22</u> is/are	hdrawn from consideration. are rejected. objected to.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exact The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 200 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or The oath or declaration is objected to by the	11 is/are: a) accepted or to the drawing(s) be held in abecorrection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	OFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Nationa	al Stage		
Attach						
Attachmen 1) Notice	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)		

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication filed 2/25/05.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments filed in view of 35 U.S.C 102 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See response to arguments below.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant argues (remarks page 1 line 30 page 2 line 2) Reasoner fails to disclose, teach or suggest a processor, coupled to the imager and illumination sources, for thresholding the image data obtained from the imager for controlling the illumination sources. This argument is not persuasive because it is the position of the examiner Reasoner does disclose a processor coupled to the imager and illumination sources (note col. 5 lines 18-28, cites processor coupled to system for output), for thresholding the image data obtained from the image for controlling the illumination sources (examiner refers MPEP 2114, intended use).
- 4. Applicant argues (remarks page 2 lines 19-21) Reasoner does not disclose, teach or suggest bounding boxes to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the threshold image. This application is note persuasive because it is the position of the examiner Reasoner does disclose bounding boxes to identify location of desired physical feature in the thresholded image (note col. 4 lines 64-67, col. 5 line 39 and col.

Art Unit: 2625

6 lines 1-3, examiner interprets light pattern bounding and illuminating the label area as bounding boxes to identify location of features in the thresholded image).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 5-6, 7, 11-12, 13, 17-18, 19 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reasoner et al (6,634,553).

Regarding apparatus, method, article of manufacture and system claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 Reasoner discloses,

A picker assembly (fig. 1 block 10 in connection with col. 5 line 1);

Illumination sources disposed at the front of the picker assembly for illuminating an object (note col. 5 line 4-6, led illumination source);

An imager disposed on the front of the picker assembly for gathering image data of the object (note col. 5 lines 8-9, ccd is the imager);

A processor, coupled to the imager and illumination sources (note col. 5 lines 18-28, cites processor coupled to system for output), for thresholding the image data obtained

Art Unit: 2625

from the imager and for controlling the illumination source (examiner refers MPEP 2114);

Wherein the processor uses bounding boxes to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the thresholded image (note col. 4 lines 64-67, col. 5 line 39 and col. 6 lines 1-3, examiner interprets light pattern bounding and illuminating the label area as bounding boxes to identify location of features in the thresholded image).

Regarding apparatus, method, article of manufacture and system claims 5, 11, 17 and 23 Reasoner discloses,

Wherein the desired physical feature comprises a top left intersection of a vertical and horizontal member of a cartridge cell within a tape library system (note col. 4 lines 43-44, array comprises location in a data cartridge).

Regarding apparatus, method, article of manufacture and system claims 6, 12, 18 and 24 Reasoner discloses,

Wherein the position of the intersection relative to the image is used to calibrate the physical position of the picker assembly (note col. 3 lines 62- col. 4 line 7, picker is moveable directed by a control system).

Art Unit: 2625

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2-4, 8-10, 14-16 and 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter for claims 2, 8, 14 and 20. The prior art fails to teach the specific limitation of the processor locating bounding box as claimed. These features, in combination with other limitations, are not taught in the prior art. Claims 3-4, 9-10, 15-16 and 21-22 depend on claims 2, 8, 14 and 20. Therefore are also objected.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Gregory M. Desire Examiner

Art Unit 2625

G.D. June 23, 2005